arXiv:1304.4960v1 [math.AP] 17 Apr 2013

Multiplicity of solutions for a NLS equations with magnetic fields in $\mathbb{R}^N$

Claudianor O. Alves [*]

Unidade Acadêmica de Matemática e Estatística
Universidade Federal de Campina Grande
58429-900, Campina Grande - PB - Brazil
e-mail address: coalves@dme.ufcg.edu.br

Giovany M. Figueiredo [†]

Faculdade de Matemática
Universidade Federal do Pará
66075-110, Belém - PA - Brazil
e-mail address: giovany@ufpa.br

Abstract

We investigate the multiplicity of nontrivial weak solutions for a class of complex equations. This class of problems are related with the existence of solitary waves for a nonlinear Schödinger equation. The main result is established by using minimax methods and Lusternik-Schnirelman theory of critical points.

2000 AMS Subject Classification: 35A15, 35H30, 35Q55.
Key words and phrases: Nonlinear Schrödinger equation; solitary waves; Electromagnetic fields; Complex-value solutions.

---

[*] Supported by INCT-MAT, PROCAD,NPq/Brazil 620150/2008-4 and 303080/2009-4

[†] Research partially supported by CNPq/PQ 301242/2011-9 and 200237/2012-8.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we establish existence and multiplicity of nontrivial weak solutions for the following class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\left(\frac{\epsilon}{i}\nabla - A(z)\right)^2 u + (\lambda W(z) + 1)u = f(|u|^2)u, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^N,\\ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C}),\end{array}\right. \tag{$P_{\epsilon,\lambda}$}$$

where ε, λ are positive parameters and W, f are continuous functions satisfying some technical conditions.

This class of problem is related with the existence of solitary waves, namely solutions of the form $\psi(x,t) := e^{-i\frac{E}{\epsilon}t}u(x)$, with $E \in \mathbb{R}$, for a nonlinear Schrödinger equation like

$$i\epsilon\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = \left(\frac{\epsilon}{i}\nabla - A(z)\right)^2\psi + U(z)\psi - f(|\psi|^2)\psi, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{NLS}$$

where $t > 0$, $N \geq 2$, ϵ is the Planck constant and A is a magnetic potential associated to a given magnetic B, $U(z)$ is a real electric potential and the nonlinear term f is a superlinear function. A direct computation shows that ψ is a solitary wave for (NLS) if, and only if, u is a solution of the following problem

$$\left(\frac{\epsilon}{i}\nabla - A(z)\right)^2 u + (\lambda W(z) + 1)u = f(|u|^2)u, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\lambda W(z) + 1 = U(z) - E$. It is important to investigate the existence and the shape of such solutions in the semiclassical limit, namely, as $\epsilon \to 0^+$. The importance of this study relies on the fact that the transition from Quantum Mechanics to Classical Mechanics can be formally performed by sending the Planck constant to zero.

At the last years, a lot of papers have considered some classes of problem related to problem $(P_{\epsilon,\lambda})$, for the case where the magnetic field is nontrivial, that is, $A \neq 0$, motivated by a seminal paper due to Esteban and Lions [7], see for example, Alves, Figueiredo & Furtado [3, 4], Cingolani & Secchi [10, 11], Cingolani, Jeanjean & Secchi [9], Chabrowski & Szulkin [8], Ding & Liu [12], Ding & Wang [13], Kurata [14], Liang & Zhang [15], Tang [17] and their references.

In [6], Barstch & Wang treated the problem (1.1) considering the case where $A = 0, f(t) = |t|^{\frac{q-2}{2}}$ and W satisfying :

(W_1) $W \in C(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$, $W(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\Omega = \operatorname{int} W^{-1}(0)$ is a nonempty bounded open set with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ and $\overline{\Omega} = W^{-1}(0)$.

(W_2) There exists $K_0 > 0$ such that

$$\mu\Big(\Big\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : W(x) \leq K_0\Big\}\Big) < \infty.$$

In [6], it is proved the existence of a least energy solution u_λ of (P) and for any sequence $\lambda_n \to \infty$ has a subsequence such that u_{λ_n} converges strongly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ along the subsequence to a least energy solution of the following limit problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + u = u^q, \ \text{ in } \Omega \\ \\ u(x) > 0 \ \text{ in } \Omega \ \text{ and } \ u = 0 \ \text{ on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

Moreover, it is stated that there exist $1 < q_0 < \frac{N+2}{N-2}$ and a function $\Lambda : (q_0, \frac{N+2}{N-2}) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that (P) has at least $\operatorname{cat}(\Omega)$ solutions for any $\lambda \geq \Lambda(q)$.

Motivated by results showed in [6], Alves & Soares in [5] have considered the existence and multiplicity of solutions for the following class of quasilinear problem

$$(P_{\lambda,\epsilon}) \qquad \begin{cases} -\epsilon^p \Delta_p u + (\lambda W(x) + 1)|u|^{p-2}u = f(u), \ \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \\ \\ u(x) > 0 \ \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \end{cases}$$

where ϵ and λ are positive parameters, Δ_p is the p-Laplacian operator and $2 \leq p < N$. The assumptions on W are essentially those assumed in [6], namely (W_2) and the following version of (W_1):

(W_1') $W \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}), W(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\Omega = \operatorname{int} W^{-1}(0)$ is a nonempty bounded open set with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ and $0 \in \Omega$. Moreover, $W^{-1}(0) = \overline{\Omega} \cup D$ where D is a set of measure zero.

An important point related to conditions $(W_1') - (W_2)$ is the fact that potential $V(x) = \lambda W(x) + 1$ does not verifies the condition

$$\liminf_{|x| \to \infty} V(x) > \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} V(x) = V_0 > 0, \tag{R}$$

which has been introduced by Rabinowitz [16]. By assuming some technical conditions on f, the main result proved in [5] claims that if $(W_1') - (W_2)$ hold, then there exists $\epsilon^* > 0$ such that for any $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon^*)$ there exists $\lambda^*(\epsilon) > 0$ such that $(P_{\lambda,\epsilon})$ has at least $cat(\Omega)$ solutions for any $\lambda \geq \lambda^*(\epsilon)$.

The main proposal of the present is to establish the same type of result found in [5] for problem $(P_{\epsilon,\lambda})$. To this end, we assume that the nonlinearity $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is of class C^1 and satisfies the following conditions:

- (f_1) $f(s) = 0$ for all $s \leq 0$ and $f(s) = o(|s|)$ at the origin;
- (f_2) $\displaystyle\lim_{|s| \to \infty} f(s)|s|^{(-q+2)/2} = 0$ for some $q \in (2, 2^*)$, where $2^* = 2N/(N-2)$;
- (f_3) There exists $\theta > 2$ such that $0 < \frac{\theta}{2} F(s) \leq s f(s)$ for all $s > 0$.
- (f_4) The function $s \to f(s)$ is increasing for $s > 0$.

A typical example of a function satisfying the conditions $(f_1) - (f_4)$ is given by $f(s) = s^{q_1}$ for $s \geq 0$, with $1 < q_1 < q - 1$, and $f(s) = 0$ for $s < 0$.

Before to state our main result, we recall that if Y is a closed set of a topological space X, we denote the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of Y in X by $cat_X(Y)$, which is the least number of closed and contractible sets in X that cover Y. Hereafter, $cat\, X$ denotes $cat_X(X)$.

Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1.1 *Suppose that $A \in C(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^N)$ is bounded, $(W_1') - (W_2)$ and $(f_1) - (f_4)$ hold. Then there exists $\epsilon^* > 0$ such that for any $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon^*)$ there exists $\lambda^*(\epsilon) > 0$ such that $(P_{\epsilon,\lambda})$ has at least $cat(\Omega)$ solutions for any $\lambda \geq \lambda^*(\epsilon)$.*

In the proof of Theorem 1.1, we will use variational methods and prove important estimates involving some minimax levels. Here, since we are with the presence of the a magnetic field, some estimates involving the case $A = 0$ cannot be repeat and new estimates are necessary to get the results, see for example the Sections 4 and 5, where key estimates involving the some minimax levels and barycenter were made.

The plan of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we recall some properties involving the function spaces and the energy functional associated with problem ($P_{\epsilon,\lambda}$). In Section 3, we study the behavior of the Palais-Smale sequence involving the energy functional. In Section 4, we show some estimates involving some minimax levels, and finally in Section 5, we prove Theorem 1.1.

2 Variational Framework

By the change of variables $z \mapsto \epsilon x$, we can see that ($P_{\varepsilon,\lambda}$) is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{i}\nabla - A(\epsilon x)\right)^2 u + (\lambda W(\epsilon x) + 1)u = f(|u|^2)u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C}). \end{cases} \tag{$D_{\epsilon,\lambda}$}$$

For each $\epsilon, \lambda > 0$, we set the Hilbert space

$$H_{\epsilon,\lambda} = \left\{ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C}) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} W(\epsilon x)|u|^2 < \infty \right\}$$

under the scalar product

$$\langle u, v\rangle_{\epsilon,\lambda} := \mathrm{Re}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla_\epsilon u \overline{\nabla_\epsilon v} + (\lambda W(\epsilon x) + 1)u\overline{v} \right),$$

where $\mathrm{Re}(w)$ denotes the real part of $w \in \mathbb{C}$, $\overline{w}$ is its conjugated, $\nabla_\epsilon u := (D_1^\epsilon u, D_2^\epsilon u, ..., D_N^\epsilon u)$ and $D_j^\epsilon := i^{-1}\partial_j - A_j(\epsilon x)$, for $j = 1, \ldots, N$. The norm induced by this inner product is given by

$$\|u\|_{\epsilon,\lambda}^2 = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla_\epsilon u|^2 + (\lambda W(\epsilon x) + 1)|u|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

In this work, the usual Hilbert space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C})$ is provided with the inner product

$$\langle u, v\rangle_\epsilon := \mathrm{Re}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla_\epsilon u \overline{\nabla_\epsilon v} + u\overline{v} \right).$$

The norm induced by this inner product is given by

$$\|u\|_\epsilon = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla_\epsilon u|^2 + |u|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

As proved by Esteban and Lions in [7, Section II], for any $u \in H_{\epsilon,\lambda}$ there holds

$$|\nabla|u|(x)| = \left|\mathrm{Re}\left(\nabla u \frac{\overline{u}}{|u|}\right)\right| = \left|\mathrm{Re}\left((\nabla u - iA_\epsilon u)\frac{\overline{u}}{|u|}\right)\right| \leq |\nabla_\epsilon u(x)|. \tag{2.1}$$

The above expression is the so called diamagnetic inequality. It follows from it that, if $u \in H_{\epsilon,\lambda}$, then $|u| \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, the embedding $H_{\epsilon,\lambda} \hookrightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$ is continuous for each $2 \leq q \leq 2^*$ and, for each bounded set $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and $2 \leq q < 2^*$, the embedding below is compact

$$H_{\epsilon,\lambda} \hookrightarrow L^q(\Lambda, \mathbb{R}). \tag{2.2}$$

We say that a function $u \in H_{\epsilon,\lambda}$ is a weak solution of the problem (P_ϵ) if

$$\mathrm{Re}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla_\epsilon u \overline{\nabla v_\epsilon} + (\lambda W(\epsilon x) + 1)u\overline{v} - f(|u|^2)u\overline{v}\right) = 0, \quad \text{for each } v \in H_{\epsilon,\lambda}.$$

In view of (f_2) and (f_3), we have that the associated functional $I_{\epsilon,\lambda} : H_{\epsilon,\lambda} \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$I_{\epsilon,\lambda}(u) := \frac{1}{2}\int |\nabla_\epsilon u|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\lambda W(\epsilon x) + 1)|u|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\int F(|u|^2)$$

is well defined. Moreover, $I_{\epsilon,\lambda} \in C^1(H_{\epsilon,\lambda}), \mathbb{R})$ with the following derivative

$$I'_{\epsilon,\lambda}(u)v = \mathrm{Re}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla_\epsilon u \overline{\nabla_\epsilon v} + (\lambda W(\epsilon x) + 1)u\overline{v} - f(|u|^2)u\overline{v}\right) \ \forall u, v \in H_{\epsilon,\lambda}.$$

Hence, the weak solutions of $(D_{\epsilon,\lambda})$ are precisely the critical points of $I_{\epsilon,\lambda}$.

3 The Palais-Smale condition

In this Section, the main goal is to show that functional $I_{\epsilon,\lambda}$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition. To this end, we have to prove some technical lemmas.

Lemma 3.1 *If (v_n) be a Palais-Smale sequence for $I_{\epsilon,\lambda}$ in $H_{\epsilon,\lambda}$ such that $v_n \rightharpoonup v$ in $H_{\epsilon,\lambda}$ for some v in $H_{\epsilon,\lambda}$, then*

$$I_{\epsilon,\lambda}(\widetilde{v}_n) = I_{\epsilon,\lambda}(v_n) - I_{\epsilon,\lambda}(v) + o_n(1)$$

and

$$I'_{\epsilon,\lambda}(\widetilde{v}_n) = o_n(1)$$

where $\widetilde{v}_n = v_n - v$.

Proof. Firstly, we observe that the limits below hold

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(|\widetilde{v}_n|^2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(|v_n|^2) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(|v|^2) + o_n(1) \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| f(|\widetilde{v}_n|^2)\widetilde{v}_n - f(|v_n^2|)v_n + f(|v|^2)v \right|^r = o_n(1) \tag{3.2}$$

for some $r \in (2, 2^*)$.

We will show only the first limit because the same arguments can be used in the proof of other one. We begin remarking that

$$F(|\widetilde{v}_n + v|^2) - F(|\widetilde{v}_n|^2) = \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} F(|\widetilde{v}_n + tv|^2) dt.$$

Then

$$F(|v_n|^2) - F(|\widetilde{v}_n^2|) = \int_0^1 f(|\widetilde{v}_n + tv|^2) 2|\widetilde{v}_n + tv||v| dt.$$

From this, for each $\gamma > 0$, there exists $C_\gamma > 0$ such that,

$$F(|v_n|^2) - F(|\widetilde{v}_n^2|) \leq \int_0^1 \left[2\gamma|\widetilde{v}_n + tv||v| + 2C_\gamma|\widetilde{v}_n + tv|^{2^*-1}|v|\right] dt.$$

Hence

$$|F(|v_n|^2)) - F(|\widetilde{v}_n|^2)| \leq C\left[\gamma|\widetilde{v}_n|^2 + C_\gamma|v|^2 + \gamma|\widetilde{v}_n|^{2^*} + C_\gamma|v|^{2^*}\right]$$

and so,

$$|F(|v_n|^2) - F(|\widetilde{v}_n|^2) - F(|v|^2)| \leq C\left[\gamma|\widetilde{v}_n|^2 + \widehat{C}_\gamma|v|^2 + \gamma|\widetilde{v}_n|^{2^*} + \widehat{C}_\gamma|v|^{2^*}\right],$$

for some positive constant $\widehat{C}_\gamma > 0$. Now, repeating the same arguments found in [2], it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |F(|v_n|^2) - F(|\widetilde{v}_n|^2) - F(|v|^2)| \to 0$$

or equivalently,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(|\widetilde{v}_n|^2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(|v_n|^2) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(|v|^2) + o_n(1).$$

On the other hand,

$$\|\widetilde{v}_n\|^2_{\epsilon,\lambda} = \|v_n\|^2_{\epsilon,\lambda} - \|v\|^2_{\epsilon,\lambda} + o_n(1). \tag{3.3}$$

Now, using (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3), we deduce that

$$I_{\epsilon,\lambda}(\widetilde{v}_n) = I_{\epsilon,\lambda}(v_n) - I_{\epsilon,\lambda}(v) + o_n(1)$$

and

$$I'_{\epsilon,\lambda}(\widetilde{v}_n) = o_n(1),$$

which completes the proof. ■

Lemma 3.2 *Suppose that f satisfies $(f_1)-(f_3)$. Let $(v_n) \subset H_{\epsilon,\lambda}$ be a $(PS)_c$ sequence for $I_{\epsilon,\lambda}$. Then there exists a constant $K > 0$, independent of ϵ and λ, such that*

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \|v_n\|^2_{\epsilon,\lambda} \le K,$$

for all $\epsilon, \lambda > 0$.

Proof. By (f_3),

$$\begin{aligned} c + o_n(1)\|v_n\|_{\epsilon,\lambda} &= I_{\epsilon,\lambda}(v_n) - \frac{1}{\theta} I'_{\epsilon,\lambda}(v_n)v_n \\ &\ge \big(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta}\big)\|v_n\|^2_{\epsilon,\lambda}, \end{aligned}$$

where $o_n(1) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Thus, we conclude that (v_n) is bounded in $H_{\epsilon,\lambda}$ with

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \|v_n\|^2_{\epsilon,\lambda} \le K := \frac{2c\theta}{\theta - 2}.$$

■

Lemma 3.3 *Suppose that f satisfies $(f_1)-(f_3)$. Let $(v_n) \subset H_{\epsilon,\lambda}$ be a $(PS)_c$ sequence for $I_{\epsilon,\lambda}$. Then $c \ge 0$, and if $c = 0$, we have that $v_n \to 0$ in $H_{\epsilon,\lambda}$.*

Proof As in the proof of Lemma 3.2,

$$c + o_n(1)\|v_n\|_{\epsilon,\lambda} = I_{\epsilon,\lambda}(v_n) - \frac{1}{\theta} I'_{\epsilon,\lambda}(v_n)v_n \ge \Big(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta}\Big)\|v_n\|^2_{\epsilon,\lambda} \ge 0 \tag{3.4}$$

that is

$$c + o_n(1)\|v_n\|_{\epsilon,\lambda} \ge 0.$$

The boundedness of (v_n) in $H_{\epsilon,\lambda}$ gives $c \ge 0$ after passage to the limit as $n \to \infty$. If $c = 0$, the inequality (3.4) gives $v_n \to 0$ in $X_{\epsilon,\lambda}$ as $n \to \infty$, finishing the proof of Lemma 3.3. ■

Lemma 3.4 *Suppose that f satisfies $(f_1)-(f_3)$. Let $c>0$ and (v_n) be a $(PS)_c$ sequence for $I_{\epsilon,\lambda}$. Then, there exists $\delta>0$ such that*

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|v_n|^q\geq\delta,$$

with δ being independent of λ and ϵ.

Proof From $(f_1)-(f_2)$, there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$|f(t)t|\leq\frac{1}{4}|t|^2+C|t|^q \tag{3.5}$$

for all $t\in\mathbb{R}$. Now, combining (3.5) with $I'_{\epsilon,\lambda}(v_n)v_n=o_n(1)$, we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\|v_n\|^2_{\epsilon,\lambda}\leq C\int_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}}|v_n|^q+o_n(1). \tag{3.6}$$

Recalling that

$$\frac{1}{2}\|v_n\|^2_{\epsilon,\lambda}=I_{\epsilon,\lambda}(v_n)+\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}F(|v_n|^2),$$

(f_3) combined with $I_{\epsilon,\lambda}(v_n)=c+o_n(1)$ yields

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty}\|v_n\|^2_{\epsilon,\lambda}\geq 2c>0. \tag{3.7}$$

Hence, the lemma follows from (3.6) and (3.7). ■

Lemma 3.5 *Suppose that f satisfies $(f_1)-(f_3)$ and W satisfies $(W_1')-(W_2)$. Let $d>0$ be an arbitrary number. Given any $\epsilon>0$ and $\eta>0$, there exist $\Lambda_\eta>0$ and $R_\eta>0$, which are independent of ϵ, such that if (v_n) is a $(PS)_c$ sequence for $I_{\epsilon,\lambda}$ with $c\leq d$ and $\lambda\geq\Lambda_\eta$, then*

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_{R_\eta}(0)}|v_n|^q<\eta.$$

Proof. Given any $R>0$, define

$$X(R)=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^N:\,|x|>R;\,W(\epsilon x)\geq K_0\}$$

and

$$Y(R)=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^N:\,|x|>R;\,W(\epsilon x)<K_0\}.$$

Observe that

$$\int_{X(R)} |v_n|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\lambda K_0 + 1} \int_{X(R)} (\lambda W(\epsilon x) + 1)|v_n|^2 \leq \|v_n\|_{\epsilon,\lambda}^2.$$

From Lemma 3.2, there exists $K > 0$ such that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \int_{X(R)} |v_n|^2 \leq \frac{K}{\lambda K_0 + 1}. \tag{3.8}$$

On the other hand, by Hölder inequality

$$\int_{Y(R)} |v_n|^2 \leq \Big(\int_{Y(R)} |v_n|^{2^*} \Big)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} (\mu(Y(R)))^{\frac{2}{N}}.$$

Using Sobolev Embedding Theorem and Lemma 3.2, there exists a constant $\widehat{K} > 0$ such that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \int_{Y(R)} |v_n|^2 \leq \widehat{K} (\mu(Y(R)))^{\frac{2}{N}}, \tag{3.9}$$

where the constant $\widehat{K}$ is uniform on $c \in [0, d]$. Since

$$Y(R) \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : W(\epsilon x) \leq K_0\},$$

it follows from (W_2)

$$\lim_{R\to\infty} \mu(Y(R)) = 0. \tag{3.10}$$

Using an interpolation property, we derive that

$$|v_n|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0))} \leq |v_n|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0))}^{\alpha} |v_n|_{L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0))}^{1-\alpha}$$

for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, and thus by Lemma 3.2, there exists a constant $\widetilde{K} > 0$ such that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)} |v_n|^q \leq \widetilde{K} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)} |v_n|^2 \Big)^{\frac{q\alpha}{2}}. \tag{3.11}$$

Combining (3.8) with (3.9) and (3.10), given $\eta > 0$, we can fix $R = R_\eta$ and $\Lambda_\eta > 0$ such that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)} |v_n|^2 \leq \Big(\frac{\eta}{2\widetilde{K}} \Big)^{\frac{2}{q\alpha}} \tag{3.12}$$

for all $\lambda \geq \Lambda_\eta$. Consequently, from (3.11) and (3.12),

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_R(0)} |v_n|^q \leq \eta.$$

This concludes the proof of the lemma. ■

As a first consequence of the last lemma, we have the following result

Corollary 3.1 *If (v_n) is a $(PS)_c$ sequence for $I_{\epsilon,\lambda}$ and λ is large enough, then its weak limit is nontrivial provided that $c > 0$.*

The next result we will prove functional $I_{\epsilon,\lambda}$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition for λ sufficiently large for ϵ arbitrary. More precisely, we state:

Proposition 3.1 *Suppose that $(f_1)-(f_3)$ and $(W_1')-(W_2)$ hold. Then for any $d > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\Lambda > 0$, independent of ϵ, such that $I_{\epsilon,\lambda}$ satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition for all $c \leq d, \lambda \geq \Lambda$ and $\epsilon > 0$. That is, any sequence $(v_n) \subset H_{\epsilon,\lambda}$ satisfying*

$$I_{\epsilon,\lambda}(v_n) \to c \text{ and } I'_{\epsilon,\lambda}(v_n) \to 0, \tag{3.13}$$

for $c \leq d$, has a strongly convergent subsequence in $H_{\epsilon,\lambda}$.

Proof Given any $d > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$, take $c \leq d$ and let (v_n) be a $(PS)_c$ sequence for $I_{\epsilon,\lambda}$. From Lemma 3.2, there are a subsequence still denoted by (v_n) and $v \in H_{\epsilon,\lambda}$ such that (v_n) is weakly convergent to v in $H_{\epsilon,\lambda}$. If $\widetilde{v}_n = v_n - v$, from Lemma 3.1,

$$I_{\epsilon,\lambda}(\widetilde{v}_n) = I_{\epsilon,\lambda}(v_n) - I_{\epsilon,\lambda}(v) + o_n(1) \tag{3.14}$$

and

$$I'_{\epsilon,\lambda}(\widetilde{v}_n) \to 0. \tag{3.15}$$

Once that $I'_{\epsilon,\lambda}(v) = 0$, (f_3) gives

$$I_{\epsilon,\lambda}(v) = I_{\epsilon,\lambda}(v) - \frac{1}{\theta} I'_{\epsilon,\lambda}(v)v \geq \Big(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta}\Big)\|v\|^2_{\epsilon,\lambda,} \geq 0. \tag{3.16}$$

Setting $c' = c - I_{\epsilon,\lambda}(v)$, by (3.14)-(3.16), we deduce that $c' \leq d$ and $(\widetilde{v}_n)$ is a $(PS)_{c'}$ sequence for $I_{\epsilon,\lambda,}$, thus by Lemma 3.3, we have $c' \geq 0$. We claim that $c' = 0$. On the contrary, suppose that $c' > 0$. From Lemma 3.4, there is $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\widetilde{v}_n|^q > \delta. \tag{3.17}$$

Letting $\eta = \frac{\delta}{2}$ and applying Lemma 3.5, we get $\Lambda > 0$ and $R > 0$ such that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)} |\widetilde{v}_n|^q < \frac{\delta}{2} \tag{3.18}$$

for the corresponding $(PS)_{c'}$ sequence for $I_{\epsilon,\lambda}$ for all $\lambda \geq \Lambda$. Combining (3.17) with (3.18) and using the fact that $\widetilde{v}_n \rightharpoonup 0$ in $H_{\epsilon,\lambda}$, we derive

$$\delta \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\widetilde{v}_n|^q \leq \limsup_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)} |\widetilde{v}_n|^q \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$$

which is impossible, then $c' = 0$. Thereby, by Lemma 3.3, $\widetilde{v}_n \to 0$ in $H_{\epsilon,\lambda}$, that is, $v_n \to v$ in $H_{\epsilon,\lambda}$ and the proof of Proposition 3.1 is complete. ■

In closing this section, we proceed with the study of $(PS)_{c,\infty}$ sequences, that is, sequences (v_n) in $H_{\epsilon,\lambda}$ verifying:

i) $\lambda_n \to \infty$

ii) $(I_{\epsilon,\lambda_n}(v_n))$ is bounded

iii) $\|I'_{\epsilon,\lambda_n}(v_n)\|^*_{\epsilon,\lambda_n} \to 0$

where $\| \ \|^*_{\epsilon,\lambda_n}$ is defined by

$$\|\varphi\|^*_{\epsilon,\lambda_n} = \sup\{|\varphi(u)|;\ u \in H_{\epsilon,\lambda_n},\ \|u\|_{\epsilon,\lambda} \leq 1\} \ \text{ for } \ \varphi \in H^*_{\epsilon,\lambda_n}.$$

Proposition 3.2 *Suppose that $(f_1) - (f_3)$ and $(W_1') - (W_2)$ hold. Assume that $(v_n) \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C})$ is a $(PS)_{c,\infty}$ sequence. Then for each $\epsilon > 0$ fixed, there exists a subsequence still denoted by (v_n) and $v_\epsilon \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C})$ such that*

i) *$v_n \to v_\epsilon$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C})$. Moreover, $v_\epsilon = 0$ on Ω_ϵ^c and v_ϵ is a solution of*

$$\begin{cases} \left(\dfrac{1}{i}\nabla - A(\epsilon z)\right)^2 u + u = f(|u|^2)u, & z \in \Omega_\epsilon, \\ u \in H_0^1(\Omega_\epsilon, \mathbb{C}), \end{cases}$$

where $\Omega_\epsilon = \frac{\Omega}{\epsilon}$.

ii) $\lambda_n \displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} W(\epsilon x)|v_n|^2 \to 0.$

iii) $\|v_n - v\|^2_{\epsilon,\lambda_n} \to 0.$

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 3.2, the sequence $(\|v_n\|_{\epsilon,\lambda_n})$ is bounded in $\mathbb{R}$. Thus, we can extract a subsequence $v_n \rightharpoonup v_\epsilon$ weakly in $H_{\epsilon,\lambda}$. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we fix

$$C_m = \Big\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : W_\epsilon(x) \geq \frac{1}{m}\Big\},$$

where $W_\epsilon(x) = W(\epsilon x)$. Hence, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\int_{C_m} |v_n|^2 \leq m \int_{C_m} W_\epsilon(x)|v_n|^2 \leq \frac{m}{\lambda_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (1 + \lambda_n W_\epsilon(x))|v_n|^2 \leq \frac{m}{\lambda_n} \|v_n\|^2_{\epsilon,\lambda_n}.$$

Then, by Lemma 3.2,

$$\int_{C_m} |v_n|^2 \leq \frac{mK}{\lambda_n} \ \text{ for } \ n \in \mathbb{N},$$

for some constant $K > 0$. Using Fatou's Lemma, we get

$$\int_{C_m} |v_\epsilon|^2 = 0$$

after to passage to the limit as $n \to \infty$. Thus $v_\epsilon = 0$ almost everywhere in C_m. Observing that

$$\mathbb{R}^N \setminus W_\epsilon^{-1}(0) = \cup_{m=1}^\infty C_m,$$

we deduce that $v_\epsilon = 0$ almost everywhere in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus W_\epsilon^{-1}(0)$. Now, recalling that $W_\epsilon^{-1}(0) = \overline{\Omega}_\epsilon \cup D_\epsilon$ and $\mu(D_\epsilon) = \mu(\frac{1}{\epsilon}D) = 0$, it follows that $v_\epsilon = 0$ almost everywhere in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega}_\epsilon$. As $\partial\Omega_\epsilon$ is a smooth set, let us conclude that $v_\epsilon \in H^1_0(\Omega_\epsilon, \mathbb{C})$.

Arguing as in Lemma 3.5, we can assert that given $\eta > 0$ there exists $R > 0$ such that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)} |v_n|^2 < \eta \tag{3.19}$$

and

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)} |v_n|^q < \eta. \tag{3.20}$$

From $(f_1)-(f_2)$, for each $\gamma>0$ there exists $C_\gamma>0$ such that

$$|f(s)| \leq \gamma + C_\tau |s|^{(q-2)/2} \text{ for all } s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

This inequality combined with Sobolev Embedding Theorems and the limits (3.19) and (3.20) yields there is a subsequence, still denoted by (v_n), such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(|v_n|^2)v_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(|v_\epsilon|^2)v_\epsilon \tag{3.21}$$

and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(|v_n|^2)v_\epsilon = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(|v_\epsilon|^2)v_\epsilon. \tag{3.22}$$

Thus, by (3.21) and (3.22),

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_n - v_\epsilon\|_\epsilon^2 \leq \|v_n - v_\epsilon\|_{\epsilon,\lambda_n}^2 &= \|v_n\|_{\epsilon,\lambda_n}^2 - \|v_\epsilon\|_{\epsilon,\lambda_n}^2 + o_n(1) \\ &= I'_{\epsilon,\lambda_n}(v_n)v_n - I'_{\epsilon,\lambda_n}(v_\epsilon)v_\epsilon + o_n(1) = o_n(1). \end{aligned}$$

Thereby, v_ϵ is a solution of

$$\begin{cases} \left(\dfrac{1}{i}\nabla - A(\epsilon z)\right)^2 u + u = f(|u|^2)u, \quad z \in \Omega_\epsilon, \\ u \in H_0^1(\Omega_\epsilon, \mathbb{C}), \end{cases} \tag{D_ϵ}$$

and the proof of *i*) is complete.

To deduce *ii*), we start observing that

$$\|v_n\|_\epsilon^2 + \lambda_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} W_\epsilon(x)|v_n|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(|v_n|^2)|v_n|^2 + o_n(1).$$

Since $v_n \to v_\epsilon$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C})$ and v_ϵ is a solution of (D_ϵ), we obtain

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \lambda_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} W_\epsilon(x)|v_n|^2 = 0,$$

which we conclude ii).

For to prove iii), we observe that

$$\|v_n - v_\epsilon\|_{\epsilon,\lambda_n}^2 = \|v_n - v_\epsilon\|_\epsilon^2 + \lambda_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} W_\epsilon(x)|v_n|^2.$$

This last equality allow that we conclude that

$$\|v_n - v_\epsilon\|_{\epsilon,\lambda_n}^2 = o_n(1).$$

■

Corollary 3.2 *Suppose that* $(W_1') - (W_2)$ *and* $(f_1) - (f_4)$ *hold. Then for each* $\epsilon > 0$ *and a sequence* (v_n) *of solutions of* (P_{ϵ,λ_n}) *with* $\lambda_n \to \infty$ *and* $\limsup\limits_{n\to\infty} I_{\epsilon,\lambda_n}(v_n) < \infty$, *there exists a subsequence that converges strongly in* $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C})$ *to a solution of the problem* (D_ϵ).

Proof. By assumptions, there exist $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and a subsequence of (v_n), still denoted by (v_n), such that (v_n) is a $(PS)_{c,\infty}$ sequence. The rest of the proof follows from Proposition 3.2. ■

4 Behavior of minimax levels

This section is devoted to the study of the behavior of the minimax levels with respect to parameter λ and ϵ. For this purpose, we introduce some notations. In the next, $\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon,\lambda}$ denotes the Nehari manifold associated to $I_{\epsilon,\lambda}$, that is,

$$\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon,\lambda} = \Big\{ v \in H_{\epsilon,\lambda} : v \neq 0 \ \text{ and } \ I'_{\epsilon,\lambda}(v)v = 0 \Big\}$$

and

$$c_{\epsilon,\lambda} = \inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon,\lambda}} I_{\epsilon,\lambda}(v).$$

From $(f_1) - (f_4)$ and arguing as in [18], we can prove that $c_{\epsilon,\lambda}$ can also characterized as the mountain pass minimax value associated with $I_{\epsilon,\lambda}$.

On account of the proof of Proposition 3.2, when λ is large, problem (D_ϵ) can be seen as a limit problem of $(D_{\epsilon,\lambda,})$ for each $\epsilon > 0$. The functional corresponding to the problem (D_ϵ) is given by

$$P_\epsilon(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_\epsilon} (|\nabla_\epsilon v|^2 + |v|^2) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_\epsilon} F(|v|^2)$$

for every $v \in H_0^1(\Omega_\epsilon, \mathbb{C})$. Here and subsequently, $\mathcal{M}_\epsilon$ denotes the Nehari manifold associated to P_ϵ and

$$c(\epsilon, \Omega) = \inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}_\epsilon} P_\epsilon(v)$$

stands for the mountain pass minimax associated with P_ϵ.

Since $0 \in \Omega$, there is $r > 0$ such that $B_r = B_r(0) \subset \Omega$ and $B_{\frac{r}{\epsilon}} = B_{\frac{r}{\epsilon}}(0) \subset \Omega_\epsilon$. We will denote by $P_{\epsilon,B_r} : H_0^1(B_{\frac{r}{\epsilon}}(0), \mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{R}$ the functional

$$P_{\epsilon,B_r}(v) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{B_{\frac{r}{\epsilon}}} (|\nabla_\epsilon v|^2 + |v|^2) - \int_{B_{\frac{r}{\epsilon}}} F(|v|^2).$$

Furthermore, we write $\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon,B_r}$ the Nehari manifold associated to P_{ϵ,B_r} and

$$c(\epsilon, B_r) = \inf_{v\in\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon,B_r}} P_{\epsilon,B_r}(v).$$

The next Lemma will be useful for studying the behavior of $c_{\epsilon,\lambda}$ as λ goes to infinity.

Hereafter, we denote by b_∞ the mountain pass level associated with functional $J : H^1(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}$, given by

$$J_\infty(v) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(|v|^2).$$

From diamagnetic inequality (2.1),

$$J_\infty(tv) \leq I_{\epsilon,\lambda}(tv)\ \forall t \geq 0,$$

and so,

$$b_\infty \leq c_{\epsilon,\lambda}.$$

The following result is a consequence of Proposition 3.2.

Proposition 4.1 *Suppose* $(f_1) - (f_4)$ *and* $(W_1') - (W_2)$ *hold. Let* $\epsilon > 0$ *be an arbitrary number. Then,*

$$\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} c_{\epsilon,\lambda} = c(\epsilon, \Omega).$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.1 and Mountain Pass Theorem, we can assume that there are two sequences, $\lambda_n \to \infty$ and $(v_n) \subset H_{\epsilon,\lambda_n}$, such that

$$I_{\epsilon,\lambda_n}(v_n) = c_{\epsilon,\lambda_n} > 0 \ \text{ and }\ I'_{\epsilon,\lambda_n}(v_n) = 0.$$

From definitions of c_{ϵ,λ_n} and $c(\epsilon, \Omega)$,

$$c_{\epsilon,\lambda_n} \leq c(\epsilon, \Omega) \ \text{ for all }\ n \in \mathbb{N}$$

which implies

$$0 \leq I_{\epsilon,\lambda_n}(v_n) \leq c(\epsilon, \Omega) \ \text{ and }\ I'_{\epsilon,\lambda_n}(v_n) = 0.$$

Thus, for some subsequence (v_{n_j}), there exists $c \in [0, c(\epsilon, \Omega)]$ such that

$$I_{\epsilon,\lambda_{n_j}}(v_{n_j}) = c_{\epsilon,\lambda_{n_j}} \to c \ \text{ and }\ I'_{\epsilon,\lambda_{n_j}}(v_{n_j}) \to 0$$

showing that (v_{n_j}) is a $(PS)_{c,\infty}$, and so,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla_\epsilon v_n|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\lambda_n W_\epsilon(x) + 1)|v_n|^2 \geq 2c_{\epsilon,\lambda_n} \geq 2b_\infty > 0 \ \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By Proposition 3.2,

$$\lambda_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} W_\epsilon(x)|v_n|^2 \to 0 \ \text{ as } \ n \to +\infty$$

then,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla_\epsilon v_n|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^2 \geq 2b_\infty > 0 + o_n(1) \ \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{4.1}$$

implying that any subsequence of (v_n) does not converge to zero in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C})$.

From Proposition 3.2, there exist a subsequence $(v_{n_{j_k}})$ and $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C})$ such that

$$v_{n_{j_k}} \to v \ \text{ strongly in } \ H^1(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C}) \ \text{ and } \ v = 0 \ \text{ in } \ \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_\epsilon. \tag{4.2}$$

From (4.1) and (4.2), $v \neq 0$ in $H^1_0(\Omega_\epsilon, \mathbb{C})$ and v is a solution of the problem (D_ϵ), from where it follows that

$$P_\epsilon(v) \geq c(\epsilon, \Omega). \tag{4.3}$$

On the other hand,

$$P_\epsilon(v) = \lim_{k\to\infty} I_{\epsilon,\lambda_{n_{j_k}}}(v_{n_{j_k}}) = \lim_{k\to\infty} c_{\epsilon,\lambda_{n_{j_k}}} = c \leq c(\epsilon, \Omega). \tag{4.4}$$

Therefore, (4.3) and (4.4) give

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} c_{\epsilon,\lambda_{n_{j_k}}} = c(\epsilon, \Omega).$$

As a result, $c_{\epsilon,\lambda} \to c(\epsilon, \Omega)$ as $\lambda \to \infty$, and the lemma follows. ■

Corollary 4.1 *Suppose that* $(W_1')-(W_2)$ *and* $(f_1)-(f_4)$ *hold. Then for each* $\epsilon > 0$ *and a sequence* (v_n) *of least energy solutions of* (D_{ϵ,λ_n}) *with* $\lambda_n \to \infty$ *and* $\limsup_{n\to\infty} I_{\epsilon,\lambda_n}(v_n) < \infty$*, there exists a subsequence that converges strongly in* $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C})$ *to a least energy solution of the problem* (D_ϵ)*.*

Proof. The proof is a consequence of Propositions 3.2 and 4.1. ■

Hereafter, $r > 0$ denotes a number such that $B_r(0) \subset \Omega$ and the sets

$$\Omega_+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : d(x, \overline{\Omega}) \leq r\}$$

and

$$\Omega_- = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : d(x, \partial\Omega) \geq r\}$$

are homotopically equivalent to Ω. The existence of this r is given by condition (W_1'). For each $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C})$ with compact support, we consider the barycenter of v

$$\beta(v) = \frac{\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} x|v|^2}{\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^2}.$$

Consider $R > 0$ such that $\Omega \subset B_R(0)$ and set the auxiliary function

$$\xi_\epsilon(t) = \begin{cases} 1, \ 0 \leq t \leq \frac{R}{\epsilon} \\ \frac{R}{\epsilon t}, \ \ \frac{R}{\epsilon} \leq t. \end{cases}$$

For $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C}), v \neq 0$, define

$$\beta_\epsilon(v) = \frac{\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} x\xi_\epsilon(|x|)|v|^2}{\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^2}.$$

Now for each $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $R > 2\text{diam}(\Omega)$ fix

$$Z_{\frac{R}{\epsilon}, \frac{r}{\epsilon}, y} = \Big\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : \frac{r}{\epsilon} \leq |x - y| \leq \frac{R}{\epsilon}\Big\}.$$

We observe that if $y \notin \frac{1}{\epsilon}\Omega_+$, then $\overline{\Omega_\epsilon} \cap B_{\frac{r}{\epsilon}}(y) = \emptyset$. As a consequence

$$\overline{\Omega_\epsilon} \subset Z_{\frac{R}{\epsilon}, \frac{r}{\epsilon}, y} \tag{4.5}$$

for every $y \notin \frac{1}{\epsilon}\Omega_+$. Moreover, for $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we will consider the number $\alpha(R, r, \epsilon, y)$ given by

$$\alpha(R, r, \epsilon, y) = \inf \Big\{\widehat{J}_{\epsilon,y}(v) : \beta(v) = y \ \text{ and } \ v \in \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{\epsilon,y}\Big\}$$

where

$$\widehat{J}_{\epsilon,y}(v)=\frac{1}{2}\int_{Z_{\frac{R}{\epsilon},\frac{r}{\epsilon},y}}(|\nabla v|^2+|v|^2)-\frac{1}{2}\int_{Z_{\frac{R}{\epsilon},\frac{r}{\epsilon},y}}F(|v|^2)$$

and

$$\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{\epsilon,y}=\Big\{v\in H_0^1(Z_{\frac{R}{\epsilon},\frac{r}{\epsilon},y},\mathbb{R}):v\neq 0\ \text{ and }\ \widehat{J}'_{\epsilon,y}(v)v=0\Big\}.$$

From now on, we will write $\alpha(R,r,\epsilon,0)$ as $\alpha(R,r,\epsilon)$, $\widehat{J}_{\epsilon,0}$ as $\widehat{J}_\epsilon$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{\epsilon,0}$ as $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_\epsilon$.

Lemma 4.1 *Assume that $(f_1)-(f_4)$ hold. Then, there exist $\epsilon^*,\delta>0$ such that*

$$b_\infty+\delta<\alpha(R,r,\epsilon)$$

for every $\epsilon\in(0,\epsilon^*)$.

Proof. See proof in [1, Proposition 4.1]. ■

5 Proof of Theorem 1.1

For $r>0$ and $\epsilon>0$, let $v_{r\epsilon}\in H_0^1(B_{\frac{r}{\epsilon}}(0))$ be a nonnegative radially symmetric function such that

$$J_{\epsilon,B_r}(v_{r\epsilon})=b(\epsilon,B_r)\ \text{ and }\ J'_{\epsilon,B_r}(v_{r\epsilon})=0,$$

where

$$J_{\epsilon,B_r}(v)=\frac{1}{2}\int_{B_{\frac{r}{\epsilon}}(0)}|\nabla u|^2+\frac{1}{2}\int_{B_{\frac{r}{\epsilon}}(0)}|u|^2-\frac{1}{2}\int_{B_{\frac{r}{\epsilon}}(0)}F(|u|^2)$$

whose existence is proved in [1]. For $r>0$ and $\epsilon>0$, define $\Psi_\epsilon:\frac{1}{\epsilon}\Omega_-\to\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon,\lambda}$ by

$$\Psi_\epsilon(y)(x)=\begin{cases}t_{\epsilon,y}e^{i\tau_{\epsilon,y}(x)}v_{r\epsilon}(|x-y|),\ x\in B_{\frac{r}{\epsilon}}(y)\\[2ex] 0,\ x\notin B_{\frac{r}{\epsilon}}(y),\end{cases}$$

where $\tau_{\epsilon,y}(x):=\sum_{j=1}^N A_j(\epsilon y)x^j$ and $t_{\epsilon,y}\in(0,+\infty)$ is such that

$$t_{\epsilon,y}e^{i\tau_{\epsilon,y}(.)}v_{r\epsilon}(|\cdot-y|)\in\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon,\lambda}.$$

It is immediate that $\beta_\epsilon(\Psi_\epsilon(y))=y$ for all $y\in\frac{1}{\epsilon}\Omega_-$.

Lemma 5.1 *Uniformly for* $y \in \frac{1}{\epsilon}\Omega_-$, *there holds*

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} P_\epsilon(\Psi_\epsilon(y)) = b_\infty.$$

Proof. Given three sequences $\epsilon_n \to 0, \lambda_n \to +\infty$ and $(y_n) \subset \frac{1}{\epsilon_n}\Omega_-$, we will prove that

$$P_{\epsilon_n}(\Psi_{\epsilon_n}(y_n)) \to b_\infty \quad \text{as} \quad n \to +\infty.$$

Let $t_n := t_{\epsilon_n, y_n}$ and $v_n = v_{r\epsilon_n}$ be as in the definition of Ψ_{ϵ_n}. Using the diamagnetic inequality, we have

$$b(\epsilon_n, B_r) \leq P_{\epsilon_n}(\Psi_{\epsilon_n}(y_n)). \tag{5.1}$$

On the other hand,

$$P_{\epsilon_n}(\Psi_{\epsilon_n}(y_n)) \leq b(\epsilon_n, B_r) + \frac{t_n^2}{2}\int_{B_{\frac{r}{\epsilon_n}}(y_n)} |A(\epsilon_n y_n) - A(\epsilon_n x + \epsilon_x y_n)||v_n|^2 \, dx$$

A direct computation implies that (t_n) is bounded, hence

$$P_{\epsilon_n}(\Psi_{\epsilon_n}(y_n)) \leq b(\epsilon_n, B_r) + C_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |A(\epsilon_n y_n) - A(\epsilon_n x + \epsilon_x y_n)||v_n|^2 \, dx. \tag{5.2}$$

Moreover, it is possible to prove that there is $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$v_n \to v \ \text{ in } \ H^1(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Once that A is continuous and belongs to $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^N)$, the above limit limit loads to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |A(\epsilon_n y_n) - A(\epsilon_n x + \epsilon_x y_n)||v_n|^2 \, dx. \tag{5.3}$$

Combining (5.1), (5.2) and (5.3) with the limit $b(\epsilon_n, B_r) \to b_\infty$, we derive that

$$P_{\epsilon_n}(\Psi_{\epsilon_n}(y_n)) \to b_\infty,$$

finishing the proof. ■

In what follows, we set

$$g(\epsilon) := \sup_{y \in \frac{1}{\epsilon}\Omega_-} |P_\epsilon(\Psi_\epsilon(y)) - b_\infty|. \tag{5.4}$$

From Lemma 5.1, $g(\epsilon) \to 0$ as $\epsilon \to 0$. Consequently, we can assume that

$$g(\epsilon) < \delta \ \ \forall \epsilon \in (0, \epsilon^*), \tag{5.5}$$

where ϵ^*, δ were given in Lemma 4.1. Here, we are assuming that we can decrease ϵ^* if necessary.

Moreover, we observe that $I_{\epsilon,\lambda}(\Psi_\epsilon(y)) = P_\epsilon(\Psi_\epsilon(y)) \leq b_\infty + g(\epsilon)$ for all $y \in \frac{1}{\epsilon}\Omega_-$ and $\lambda > 0$. Hence, the set

$$\mathcal{O}_{\epsilon,\lambda} := \{u \in \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon,\lambda} : I_{\epsilon,\lambda}(u) \leq b_\infty + g(\epsilon)\}$$

contains the functions $\Psi_\epsilon(y)$ for $y \in \frac{1}{\epsilon}\Omega_-$, showing that $\mathcal{O}_{\epsilon,\lambda} \neq \emptyset$.

Lemma 5.2 *Suppose $(f_1) - (f_4)$ and $(W_1') - (W_2)$ hold. Let $\epsilon^* > 0$ given by Lemma 4.1. Then for any $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon^*)$, there exists $\lambda^* > 0$ which depends on ϵ such that*

$$\beta_\epsilon(v) \in \frac{1}{\epsilon}\Omega_+$$

for all $\lambda > \lambda^*, 0 < \epsilon < \epsilon^*$ and $v \in \mathcal{O}_{\epsilon,\lambda}$.

Proof. Suppose by contradiction that there exists a sequence (λ_n) with $\lambda_n \to \infty$ such that

$$v_n \in \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon,\lambda_n}, \ I_{\epsilon,\lambda_n}(v_n) \leq b_\infty + g(\epsilon) \tag{5.6}$$

and

$$\beta_\epsilon(v_n) \notin \frac{1}{\epsilon}\Omega_+. \tag{5.7}$$

Repeating the same arguments used in the proofs of Lemma 3.5 and Proposition 3.2, $(\|v_n\|_{\epsilon,\lambda_n})$ is a bounded sequence in $\mathbb{R}$ and there exists $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C})$ such that $v_n \rightharpoonup v$ weakly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C})$, $v = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_\epsilon$ and for each $\eta > 0$ there exists $R > 0$ such that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)} |v_n|^2 < \eta.$$

This fact implies that

$$v_n \to v \ \text{ strongly in } \ L^p(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C}).$$

Hence by interpolation,

$$v_n \to v \text{ strongly in } L^t(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C}) \text{ for all } t \in [2, 2^*).$$

On the other hand, since $v_n \in \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon,\lambda_n}$, from (4.1),

$$0 < 2b_\infty \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(|v_n|^2)|v_n|^2 + o_n(1), \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

from where it follows that

$$0 < 2b_\infty \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(|v|^2)|v|^2,$$

which yields

$$v \neq 0,\ P'_\epsilon(v)v \leq 0 \text{ and } \lim_{n\to\infty} \beta_\epsilon(v_n) = \beta(v). \tag{5.8}$$

From (5.7) and (5.8), $y = \beta(v) \notin \frac{1}{\epsilon}\Omega_+$, $\Omega_\epsilon \subset A_{\frac{R}{\epsilon},\frac{r}{\epsilon},y}$ and there exists $\tau \in (0, 1]$ such that $\tau|v| \in \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{\epsilon,y}$. Thereby, combining diamagnetic inequality (2.1) with (5.5) and (5.6), we get

$$\widehat{J}_{\epsilon,y}(\tau|v|) \leq P_\epsilon(\tau v) \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} I_{\epsilon,\lambda_n,}(\tau v_n) \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} I_{\epsilon,\lambda_n}(v_n) \leq b_\infty + \delta$$

which implies

$$\alpha(R, r, \epsilon, y) \leq b_\infty + \delta.$$

On the other hand, since

$$\alpha(R, r, \epsilon, y) = \alpha(R, r, \epsilon)$$

we have

$$\alpha(R, r, \epsilon) \leq b_\infty + \delta,$$

obtaining a contradiction with Lemma 4.1, and the proof is complete. ■

We claim that

$$cat\mathcal{O}_{\epsilon,\lambda} \geq cat(\Omega) \tag{5.9}$$

for all $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon^*)$ and $\lambda \geq \lambda^*$. In fact, suppose that

$$\mathcal{O}_{\epsilon,\lambda} = \cup_{i=1}^n O_i$$

where $O_i, i = 1, ..., n$, is closed and contractible in $\mathcal{O}_{\epsilon,\lambda}$, that is, there exists $h_i \in C([0,1] \times O_i, \mathcal{O}_{\epsilon,\lambda})$ such that, for every, $v \in O_i$,

$$h_i(0,v) = v \ \text{ and } \ h_i(1,u) = w_i$$

for some $w_i \in \mathcal{O}_{\epsilon,\lambda}$. Consider

$$B_i = \Psi_\epsilon^{-1}(O_i), \ \ i = 1, ..., n.$$

The sets B_i are closed and

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon}\Omega_- = B_1 \cup ... \cup B_n.$$

Consider the deformation $g_i : [0,1] \times B_i \to \frac{1}{\epsilon}\Omega_+$ given

$$g_i(t,y) = \beta_\epsilon(h_i(t, \Psi_\epsilon(y))).$$

From Lemma 5.2, the function g_i is well defined. Thus, B_i is contractile in $\frac{1}{\epsilon}\Omega_+$. Hence,

$$cat(\Omega) = cat(\Omega_\epsilon) = cat_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}\Omega_+}\big(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\Omega_-\big) \leq cat\,\mathcal{O}_{\epsilon,\lambda}$$

which verifies (5.9).

Now, we are ready to conclude the proof of Theorem 1.1. From Proposition 3.1 the functional $I_{\epsilon,\lambda}$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition provided that $\lambda \geq \lambda^*$. Thus, by Lusternik-Schirelman theory, the functional $I_{\epsilon,\lambda}$ has at least $cat(\Omega)$ critical points for all $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon^*)$ where $\epsilon^* > 0$ is given by Lemma 4.1. The proof is complete. ■

References

[1] C. O. Alves, *Existence and multiplicity of solution for a class of quasilinear equations*, Adv. Nonlinear Stud. 5 (2005), no. 1, 73-86.

[2] C. O. Alves, P.C. Carrião & E. S. Medeiros, *Multiplicity of solutions for a class of quasilinear problem in exterior domains with Newmann conditions*, Abstract and Applied Analisys 03 (2004), 251-268.

[3] C.O. Alves, G.M. Figueiredo & M.F. Furtado, *Multiple solutions for a nonlinear Schrödinger equation with magnetic fields,* Comm. in Partial Differential Equations, 36 (2011), 1-22

[4] C.O. Alves, G.M. Figueiredo & M.F. Furtado, *On the number of solutions of NLS equations with magnetics fields in expanding domains,* J. Differential Equations 251 (2011), 2534-2548.

[5] C.O. Alves & S.H.M. Soares, *Multiplicity of positive solutions for a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Advanced in Differential Equations 11 (2010), 1083 - 1102.

[6] T. Barstch & Z.Q. Wang, *Multiple positive solutions for a nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 51 (2000), no. 3, 366-384.

[7] M. Esteban & P.L. Lions, *Stationary solutions of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with an external magnetic field*, PDE and Calculus of Variations, Vol. I, 401–449, Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. 1, Birkhäuser Boston, MA, 1989.

[8] J. Chabrowski & A. Szulkin, *On the Schrödinger equation involving a critical Sobolev exponent and magnetic field,* Top. Meth. Nonlinear Anal. 25 (2005), 3-21.

[9] S. Cingolani, L. Jeanjean & S. Secchi, *Multi-peak solutions for magnetic NLS equations without non-degeneracy conditions,* ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var. 15 (2009), 653-675.

[10] S. Cingolani & S. Secchi, *Semiclassical limit for nonlinear Schrödinger equations with electromagnetic fields,* J. Math. Anal. Appl. 275 (2002), 108-130.

[11] S. Cingolani & S. Secchi, *Semiclassical states for NLS equations with magnetic potentials having polynomial growths,* J. Math. Phys. 46 (2005), no. 5, 053503, 19 pp.

[12] Y.H. Ding & X.Y. Liu *Semiclassical solutions of Schrödinger equations with magnetic fields and critical nonlinearities*, Manuscripta Math. 140 (2013), 51-82.

[13] Y.H. Ding & Z.Q. Wang *Bound states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with magnetic fields*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 190 (2011), 427-451.

[14] K. Kurata, *Existence and semi-classical limit of the least energy solution to a nonlinear Schrödinger equation with electromagnetic fields,* Nonlinear Anal. 41 (2000), 763-778.

[15] S. Liang & J. Zhang, *Solutions of perturbed Schrödinger equations with electromagnetic fileds and critical nonlinearity*, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. 54 (2011),131-147.

[16] P. H. Rabinowitz, *On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Z. Angew Math. Phys. 43 (1992), 270-291.

[17] Z. Tang, *Multi-bump bound states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with electromagnetic fields and critical frequency,* J. Differential Equations 245 (2008), 2723-2748.

[18] M. Willem , *Minimax Theorems*, Birkhuser, 1996.